

Certified mail: 7021 1970 0000 2288 4915
Domestic Return Receipt: 9590 9402 7285 2028 4385 88

Created by :Dagmara-Patrycja; .Ragone:
c/o 5021 South La Sedona Circle
Delray Beach, Florida Zip Exempt [33484]

CC: Space Force – Gen. Raymond, Investigations
US Marshalls – Ronald Davis, Gadyaces Serralta

Financial Statement First Notice and Demand for Settlement

To: Dave Kerner - Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners
301 N. Olive Avenue , West Palm Beach, FL 33401

Dear Dave,

As per Affidavit of Truth and Facts and Notice of Claim you received on May 23, 2022 and did not rebut it - you owe now to me \$5,600,000.00 in compensation for my un-a-lien-able rights violations.

By not rebutting any parts of said Affidavit you lawfully accepted and confirmed all the crimes and rights violations you are accused of in said Affidavit and you agreed to all transgression charges listed in said unrebutted Affidavit.


Certified Judgment of Unrebutted Affidavit has been recorded on the land with recorder's office.

Please submit promptly compensation in form of the check payable to:

Dagmara Ragone
5021 S. La Sedona Circle
Delray Beach, Florida [33484]

Seek God's forgiveness for what you committed against The People in Palm Beach county and you should step down and issue public apology – many died and will die because of your participation in New/Liberal World Order genocide agenda - Covid-19. Your family will have to live in disgrace of your pro-satanistic genocide choices long after you are brought to justice and gone from here... If you have any mercy on your family members you will publicly drop to your knees and beg The People for forgiveness, it will not remove you from the accountability but it may make their life easier after you are tried by our military for treason and crimes against humanity you committed.

Autograph



:Dagmara-Patrycja; .Ragone:, Beneficiary
of the DAGMARA PATRYCJA; PRZANOWSKA trust
*honorable living woman created in the image of God, see Genesis 1:26-27
without prejudice, all rights reserved, UCC1-308*

Void where prohibited by law, code or statue.

Unrebutted Affidavit deemed admitted and is factual evidence:

- a) Non Rebutted Affidavits are "Prima Facie Evidence in the Case," *United States vs. Kis*, 658 F.2d, 526, 536-337 (7th Cir. 1981);
- b) *Cert Denied*, 50 U.S. L.W. 2169; S.Ct. March 22, 1982. "Indeed, no more than (Affidavits) is necessary to make the Prima Facie Case."
- c) *Seitzer v. Seitzer*, 80 Cal. Rptr. 688 "Uncontested Affidavit taken as true in support of Summary Judgment."
- d) *Melovich Builders v. The SUPERIOR COURT of San Bernardino County (Serbia)* 207 Cal.Rptr. 47 (Cal.App.4 Dist. 1984) "Uncontested Affidavit taken as true in Opposition of Summary Judgment."
- e) "Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak, or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading. . . We cannot condone this shocking behavior... This sort of deception will not be tolerated and if this is routine it should be corrected immediately." *U.S. v. Tweel*, 550 F.2d 297, 299. See also *U.S. v. Prudden*, 424 F.2d 1021, 1032; *Carmine v. Bowen*, 64 A. 932.
- f) "Uncontested affidavit" moved the court to hear the case. *United States v. Lopez*, No. 07-3159 (10th Cir. 03/04/2008).
- g) "...finding uncontested affidavit of debtor's attorney that he provided telephonic notice of debtor's bankruptcy case sufficient to hold creditor in violation of § 362(h)." *Johnson*, No. 05-8089 (10th Cir. 08/28/2007).
- h) "Based on that uncontested affidavit, the court found that Col. Hardesty had personally and properly appointed Lt. Col. Harmon to Pvt. Wright's court-martial." *Wright v. Commandant, USDB*, No. 03-3214 (10th Cir. 04/09/2004).
- i) "According to the uncontested affidavit of Dennis Farrington, Vice President/Management Supervisor at Hill, Holliday, the commercial became obsolete as of September 30, 1984, when the new model Fords were introduced, and would not be "aired in any form after that date." *Kazmaier's prayer for injunctive relief is therefore moot.*" *Kazmaier v. Wooten*, 761 F.2d 46 (1st Cir. 04/30/1985).
- j) "Whether or not Thrift now has the original prescription forms submitted to UPA for reimbursement, Thrift submitted an uncontested affidavit stating that, as with Thrift's other claims, UPA failed to pay for the \$3,456.07 owed to Thrift upon Thrift's submission of the original claim forms." *Thrift Drug Inc. v. Universal Prescription Administrators*, 131 F.3d 95 (2d Cir. 12/11/1997)
- k) "...the government conceded that a single sale was the only connection between the property and the predicate offense; on the day of the transaction the drugs were brought to the claimant's home at the insistence of the government informant; the uncontested affidavit of the claimant indicated that the drugs were present in the home for no more than a few hours; and there was no evidence that the house was used to store drugs. *Id.* at 1065. On these facts, the court found that there was no "substantial connection" between the claimant's home and the predicate offense." *United States v. Premises and Real Property at 4492 South Livonia Road*, 889 F.2d 1258 (2nd Cir. 11/17/1989)
- l) "The district court relied on the uncontested affidavit of Robert A. Michlik, the PBGC case officer responsible for processing the termination of the Plan, for the finding that 74 Plan participants were eligible for pension benefits as of September 20, 1978." *In re Syntex Fabrics Inc.*, 698 F.2d 199 (3rd Cir. 01/19/1983).
- m) "This motion was supported by an uncontested affidavit detailing that de Santibanes had essentially no contacts with Virginia or with the plaintiffs, including that he had never resided in Virginia, did not own any property in the State, does not receive income from any business with operations in the State, and has never sent nor received correspondence from the State. The plaintiffs did not contest the information in the affidavit by way of affidavit or testimony." *Lolavar v. Santibanes*, 430 F.3d 221 (4th Cir. 12/01/2005).
- n) "According to their uncontested affidavit... Carmichael simply cannot demonstrate any causal connection between Price Waterhouse's conduct and his prolonged imprisonment or torture." *Carmichael v. United Technologies Corp.*, 835 F.2d 109 (5th Cir. 01/07/1988).
- o) "The city responded to appellant's motion for attorney's fees with an (uncontested) affidavit from City Secretary Gorsline. That affidavit, together with the other factors identified in the chronology contained in the district court's opinion, established that as early as March 20, 1985, the city had decided to reword its election ballots." *Sorola v. City of Lamesa*, 808 F.2d 435 (5th Cir. 01/27/1987).
- p) "On the basis of this uncontested affidavit, we can take it as established, for summary judgment purposes, that the bank records were reasonably available." *Barrett v. United States and Internal Revenue Service*, 795 F.2d 446 (5th Cir. 07/28/1986).
- q) "The uncontested affidavit of Stevenson's vice-president established that..." *Albertson v. Stevenson*, 749 F.2d 223 (5th Cir. 12/26/1984).
- r) "The uncontested affidavit establishing appellant's reform or cure was made by appellant's wife at approximately the same time as the affidavits of the other employees. The case for discharge presented to the Merit Systems Review Board for decision, therefore, included an uncontested showing that Bonet was totally reformed or cured." *Bonet v. United States Postal Service*, 712 F.2d 213 (5th Cir. 08/19/1983).
- s) "The unrebutted affidavit of a MetLife representative establishes..." *Justofin v. Metropolitan Life Insurance Co.*, 372 F.3d 517 (06/25/2004).
- t) "The court's decision on the second summary judgment motion parallels its decision on the first. Again, it held that plaintiff had failed to comply with Rule 56(c)(2) when he filed new material in response to the motion and held, as a result, that defendant's statement of undisputed facts was deemed admitted." *Gallipo v. City of Rutland* (2004-041)
- u) "Motion ? a request that the CT order something such as dismissing the case, not same as a pleading.
Dismissal on other grounds ? i.e. when facts are undisputed and DF is entitled to JGT as a matter of law (Summary JGT under R56)? statute of limitation, claim or issue preclusion, etc.
Answer - a pleading that responds to allegations of the complaint and may add new matter as well. R8(b)(c)(d)
Admissions?allegations not denied are deemed admitted.
Denials?those allegations properly denied are joined, meaning they are in dispute and ripe for adjudication." CIVIL PROCEDURE SPRING 2003 - Professor Von Creel, OCU Law School.
- v) The above, as well as dozens of other cases which could be cited to support the legal position on the validity of unrebutted or uncontested affidavits, which are deemed admitted, regardless of the framework in which this lawful fact is presented. To have to take this to a court in suit is a waste of the court's time and a violation of the PRA.