

# Filer Note To Clerk

---

Affidavit

---

*IMPORTANT! This document was generated by the GSCCCA eFiling portal and is intended to provide the clerk with additional information from the filer.*

**\*\*\*PLEASE DO NOT RECORD THIS DOCUMENT\*\*\***

*Generated on 7/28/2022 at 3:38 PM*

Deed Doc: AFF  
Recorded 07/28/2022 03:38PM  
Georgia Intangible Tax Paid:  
\$0.00

Registered Mail: RF 317 057 210 US

Created by: Dagmara Patrycja Ragone  
c/o 5021 South La Sedona Circle  
Delray Beach, Florida [33484]

HERBERINA M. TURNER

Clerk Superior Court,

TALIAFERRO County, Ga.

Bk 00111 Pg 0080-0092

Penalty: \$0.00

Interest: \$0.00

Participants: 2012808417

## **Affidavit of Truth and Facts and Notice of Claim**

Notice to agent is notice to principal, notice to principal is notice to agent

Notice to agent is notice to principal, notice to principal is notice to agent

Notice to agent is notice to principal, notice to principal is notice to agent

Florida, the State

Palm Beach, the  
County

TO: Palm Beach County Mayor Robert S. Weinroth  
301 N. Olive Avenue  
West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

I, Dagmara Patrycja Ragone, on this 17th day of May, 2022, a woman, demonstrating credible nature and of lawful age, by my own free will act and deed, in execution of my will and affirmation does state:

I: Dagmara Patrycja Ragone

:one of the People

The unalienable rights of the people are the PRIVATE PROPERTY of the People; The Right to Just Compensation is the PUBLIC PROPERTY of the People, the exercise of which is conducive to the general interest and protected by The Constitution and the laws made in support thereof, and;

All persons who now or hereafter are employed by or who now or hereafter are on the payroll of the state, or any of its departments and agencies, subdivisions, counties, cities, school boards and districts of the free public school system of the state or counties, or institutions of higher learning, except candidates for federal office, are required to take an oath before any person duly authorized to take acknowledgments of instruments for public record in the state in the following form:

I, , a citizen of the State of Florida and of the United States of America, and being employed by or an officer of and a recipient of public funds as such employee or officer, do hereby solemnly

swear or affirm that I will support the Constitution of the United States and of the State of Florida.

And Said oath shall be filed with the records of the governing official or employing governmental agency prior to the approval of any voucher for the payment of salary, expenses, or other compensation [Florida Statute 876.05], and;

The provisions of ss. 876.05-876.10 shall apply to all employees and elected officers of the state, including the Governor and constitutional officers and all employees and elected officers of all cities, towns, counties, and political subdivisions, including the educational system and this act shall take precedence over all laws relating to merit, and of civil service law. [Florida Statute 876.09]

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE proving the Constitution is suspended, and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE proving false that JUST COMPENSATION is to be given for the TAKING of PRIVATE PROPERTY for PUBLIC USE, and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE proving false that Just Compensation is ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE underwriting any Declaration for Emergency including any Mask Mandate, any and all compulsory CORONAVIRUS or other Vaccinations or TEST, or Vaccine Passport in exchange for COMPLIANCE, and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE demonstrating and proving the SARS-Cov-2 (COVID-19) variant of the CORONAVIRUS has been isolated, and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE demonstrating and proving one can TEST for what has not been isolated, and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE demonstrating and proving prolonged use of FACE MASKS is safe, effective and based upon real science for deterring the spread of CORONAVIRUS or SARS-Cov-2 (COVID-19) variant, and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE demonstrating and proving that IMPLEMENTATION OF LOCKDOWNS is safe, effective and based upon real science for deterring the spread of CORONAVIRUS or SARS-Cov-2 (COVID-19) variant, and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE demonstrating and proving that SOCIAL DISTANCING is safe, effective and based upon real science for deterring the spread of CORONAVIRUS or SARS-Cov-2 (COVID-19) variant, and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE demonstrating and proving false that the American Medical Association's reversal on Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) (*see exhibit A, incorporated herein by reference*) provides medical practitioners with an adequate, approved, and available alternative to masks and CORONAVIRUS vaccinations or TEST, including any vaccination or TEST for SARS-Cov-2 (COVID-19) or other variant thereof, and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE demonstrating and proving that the DETERMINATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF CHLORINE DIOXIDE IN THE TREATMENT OF COVID 19 (*see exhibit B, incorporated herein by reference*) study submitted for peer review in Journal of Molecular and Genetic Medicine (ISSN: 1747-0862) has been disproved or disavowed, and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE demonstrating and proving false that CHLORINE DIOXIDE is also an adequate, approved, and available alternative to masks and CORONAVIRUS vaccinations or TEST, including any vaccination or TEST for SARS-Cov-2 (COVID-19) or other variant thereof, and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE demonstrating and proving false that any CORONAVIRUS vaccinations or TEST, including any vaccination or TEST for SARS-Cov-2 (COVID-19) or other variant thereof is authorized for EMERGENCY USE ONLY, and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE demonstrating and proving false that any CORONAVIRUS vaccinations or TEST, including any vaccination or TEST for SARS-Cov-2 (COVID-19) or other variant thereof is classified as EXPERIMENTAL by the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"), and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE demonstrating and proving false that this means that any body involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, over-reaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision and this latter element required that before the

acceptance of an affirmative decision by the EXPERIMENTAL SUBJECT there should be made known to him the nature, duration and purpose of the EXPERIMENT; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonable to be expected upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the EXPERIMENT (*see exhibit C, incorporated herein by reference*) , and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE demonstrating and proving that the People and the Public have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable the People and the Public to make an understanding and enlightened decision before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the People and the Public, and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE demonstrating and proving that the People and the Public know the nature, duration and purpose of the EXPERIMENT; the method and means by which it is conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonable to be expected; and the effect upon the People and the Public health or person which may possibly come from the People and the Public FORCED PARTICIPATION in the EXPERIMENT, and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE demonstrating and proving that I have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable me to make an understanding and enlightened decision, and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE demonstrating and proving that I know the nature, duration and purpose of the EXPERIMENT; the method and means by which it is conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonable to be expected; and the effect upon the People and the Public health or person which may possibly come from my FORCED PARTICIPATION in the EXPERIMENT, and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE demonstrating and proving that a bona fide, verified emergency exists warranting any STATE OF EMERGENCY within the jurisdiction of PALM BEACH COUNTY underwriting any Mask Mandate, compulsory CORONAVIRUS or other vaccination or TEST, or Vaccine Passport, and;

I have not seen nor been presented with any CONSIDERABLE CONSIDERATIONS nor JUST COMPENSATION in exchange for this TAKING of PRIVATE PROPERTY, and;

Therefore, any enforcement of any Mask Mandate, compulsory CORONAVIRUS or other vaccination, or Vaccine Passport is a violation of OATH OF OFFICE and THE PEOPLE'S UNALIENABLE RIGHTS.

Your Emergency Orders: 20-01, 20-02, 20-03, 20-04, 20-05, 20-06, 20-07, 20-08, 20-10, 20-11, 20-12, 20-13, 20-14, 20-15, 20-16, 20-17, 20-18, 20-19, 20-20, 20-21, 20-22, 20-23, 20-24, 20-26, 20-29, 20-30, 20-31, 2021-01, 2021-02, 2021-03, 2021-04, 2021-05 violated your OATH OF OFFICE and THE PEOPLE'S UNALIENABLE RIGHTS, AS WELL AS

By instructing Palm Beach County sheriff Ric Bradshaw to enforce your unconstitutional Emergency Orders on public and private properties and for him instruct all of PBC Sheriff Deputies to do so - YOU IN CONCERT WITH PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF RIC BRADSHAW AND ALL DEPUTIES CONSPIRED AGAINST THE RIGHTS under USC Title 18 Section 241 using armed and lethal forces.

Furthermore, any STATE OF EMERGENCY within the jurisdiction of PALM BEACH COUNTY underwriting any Mask Mandate, compulsory CORONAVIRUS or other vaccination, or Vaccine Passport is an advocacy that existing form of constitutional government should be overthrown by force or violence or by any other unlawful means [Florida Statute 876.01]

Furthermore, any utterance that advocates, advises, or teaches the duty, necessity or propriety of enforcement of any Mask Mandate, compulsory CORONAVIRUS or other vaccination or TEST, or Vaccine Passport, by word of mouth or writing, condones the overthrowing or overturning existing forms of constitutional government by force or violence under the guidance of, or in collaboration with, officials, agents, or representatives of a foreign state or an international revolutionary party or group. [Florida Statute 876.02]

Furthermore, any enforcement any Mask Mandate, compulsory CORONAVIRUS or other vaccination or TEST, or Vaccine Passport constitutes an assembly for the purpose of promoting, advocating or teaching the doctrine of criminal anarchy, criminal communism, criminal nazziism, or criminal fascism, as defined in FL Stat 876.01, such an assembly or organization is unlawful, and every person voluntarily participating therein by his or her presence, aid, or instigation shall be guilty of a felony of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084. [Florida Statute 876.03]

Furthermore, No owner, agent, superintendent, janitor, caretaker, or occupant of any place, building, or room, shall willfully and knowingly permit therein any assemblage of persons prohibited by s. 876.03, and if such person after notification that the premises are so used permits such use to be continued he or she shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082 or s. 775.083. [Florida Statute 876.04]

Furthermore, any enforcement of any Mask Mandate, compulsory CORONAVIRUS or other vaccination or TEST, or Vaccine Passport constitutes an unlawful practice of medicine with forced mass medical experimentation upon the People and the Public with the intervention of elements of force, fraud, deceit, duress, over-reaching and other forms of constraint or coercion.

Furthermore, any enforcement of any Mask Mandate, compulsory CORONAVIRUS or other vaccination or TEST, or Vaccine Passport is COMMUNICATION with intent to OBTAIN PROPERTY through a systematic, ongoing course of conduct with intent to defraud one or more persons, or with intent to obtain property from one or more persons by false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises or willful misrepresentations of a future act which is defined as SCHEME TO DEFRAUD. [Florida Statute 817.034(3)(d)]

Furthermore, on April 18, 2022 United States District Judge Kathryn Kimball Mizelle of United States District Court, Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division declared in Case Number: 8:21-cv-01693-KKM-AEP that mask mandate is unlawful and ordered its termination:


## CONCLUSION

"It is indisputable that the public has a strong interest in combating the spread of [COVID - 19] . " *Alabama Ass'n of Realtors* , 141 S. Ct. at 2490. In pursuit of that end, the CDC issued the Mask Mandate. But the Mandate exceeded the CDC's statutory authority, improperly invoked the good cause exception to notice and comment rulemaking, and failed to adequately explain its decisions. Because "our system does not permit agencies to act unlawfully even in pursuit of desirable ends," *id.* , the Court declares unlawful and vacates the Mask Mandate.

Accordingly, the following is **ORDERED**:

1. Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment ( Doc. 48) is **GRANTED** on Counts I, II, and III . Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 45) is **DENIED** .
2. The Court **DECLARES UNLAWFUL** and **VACATES** the Mask Mandate, remanding it to the CDC for further proceedings consistent with this order.
3. The Court directs the Clerk to **TERMINATE** President Joseph R. Biden, Jr., as a Defendant to this action , to **ENTER** final judgment in favor of Plaintiffs as prescribed in this order, and to **CLOSE** this case

ORDERED in Tampa, Florida, on April 18, 2022.

  
 Kathryn Kimball Mizelle  
 United States District Judge

THEREFORE, I CLAIM TRESPASS AGAINST MY PROPERTY.

I REQUIRE IMMEDIATE RESTORATION OF MY PROPERTY AND THE COMPENSATION FOR ENTIRE TIME MY RIGHTS WERE TRESPASSED AGAINST according to following Transgression Fee Schedule.

By silence, Respondent(s) have agreed to the following PROOF OF CLAIM(S), for determining/calculating actual and compensatory damages due me, Dagmara Patrycja Ragone.

PROOF OF CLAIM, "actual" or "compensatory damages" in actions/claims for false arrest and/or false imprisonment have been established at 25,000 USD per twenty-three (23) minutes, 1,600,000 USD per day. Punitive damages may be set by the injured party; and specifically, the Undersigned as the injured party within the above referenced alleged Criminal Case/Cause. [See: Trezevant v. City of Tampa, 741 F.2d 336 (1984), wherein damages were set as 25,000 USD per twenty-three 23 minutes in a false imprisonment case.]

PROOF OF CLAIM: The above cited case; i.e., Trezevant v. City of Tampa, can be utilized by the Undersigned in determining actual/compensatory damages should Respondent(s) agree the Undersigned has been falsely imprisoned; and, Respondent(s) can provide any valid, lawful, and reasonable objection as to why it should not, or cannot, be so utilized and applied in this matter.

Furthermore; I, Dagmara Patrycja Ragone, have under the 9th and 10th Amendment AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE FINES (DAMAGES) CAUSED BY CRIMES BY GOVERNMENT OFFICERS, CITIZENS



OF THE CORPORATIONS: PERPETRATORS INCLUDING AUTHORIZING BODIES, CAPTAINS, CHIEFS, SUPERVISORS, EMPLOYERS, AGENTS, CLERKS, ADMINISTRATORS, JUDGES, OR ANY PERSON WHO VIOLATES MY GOD-GIVEN RIGHTS.

These Damages, in part, were determined by GOVERNMENT itself for the violation listed:

Emoluments Violations – 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242, 643, / 28 U.S.C. § 1927, / 29 U.S.C. § 1109

EXECUTIVE ORDER 13818 ON HUMAN TRAFFICKING, (Public Law 114-328) section 212(f),

8 U.S.C. 1182(f), (INA), 3 U.S.C. section 301, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1608, 1330 / Qui Tam 31 U.S. Code, § 3730(b)(c).

| Breach  | Penalty        | Authority                    |
|---|----------------|------------------------------|
| A-VIOLATION OF OATH OF OFFICE:                          | \$250,000.00   | 18 USC 3571, 28 USC 3002(15) |
| C-CONSPIRACY TO DEPRIVE ME OF LIFE LIBERTY OR PROPERTY  | \$250,000.00   | per PERSON per event         |
| I-DENIED PROPER WARRANT(S):                             | \$250,000.00   | 18 USC 3571                  |
| J-DENIED RIGHT OF REASONABLE DEFENSE ARGUMENTS:         | \$250,000.00   | 18 USC 3571                  |
| L-DENIED RIGHT TO TRUTH IN EVIDENCE:                    | \$250,000.00   | 18 USC 3571                  |
| N-SLAVERY (Forced Compliance to contracts not held):    | \$250,000.00   | 18 USC 3571                  |
| O-DENIED PROVISIONS IN THE CONSTITUTION:                | \$250,000.00   | 18 USC 3571                  |
| P-ARMED TREASON, WAR AGAINST AMERICANS:                 | \$250,000.00   | 18 USC 3571                  |
| R-APARTHEID:  | \$1,000,000.00 |                              |
| UNDER COLOR OF LAW:                                     | \$200,000.00   | 18 USC 242                   |
| T-EMOTIONAL DISTRESS:                                   | \$200,000.00   | 32 CFR                       |
| 536.77(a)(3)(vii)                                       |                |                              |
| U-MENTAL ANGUISH ABUSE:                                 | \$200,000.00   | 42 CFR 488.301               |
| CC-NEGLECT/FAILURE TO PROTECT/ACT:                      | \$200,000.00   | 18 USC 1621, 42 USC 1986     |
| LL-ACTING AS AGENTS OF FOREIGN PRINCIPLES:              | \$200,000.00   | 18 USC 219                   |
| QQ-ICTITIOUS CONVEYANCE OF LANGUAGE:                    | \$200,000.00   | Chap. 2b 78FF                |
| RR-MISAPPROPRIATION OF TAXPAYER FUNDS:                  | \$200,000.00   | 18 USC 641-664               |
| VIOLATIONS OF THE UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS | \$200,000.00   |                              |
| YY-ARMED CONSPIRACY AGAINST RIGHTS:                     | \$200,000.00   | 18 USC 241                   |
| AB-ARMED EXTORTION OF RIGHTS:                           | \$200,000.00   | Title 15                     |
| AK-ARMED FICTITIOUS OBLIGATIONS:                        | \$200,000.00   | 18 USC 514                   |
| AO-ARMED RACKETEERING (Criminal, Felony):               | \$200,000.00   | 18 USC 1961-1968             |
| AP-ARMED RACKETEERING (Civil):                          | \$200,000.00   |                              |

TOTAL COMPENSATION DUE: \$5,600,000

When public servants violate their own Oath of Office, Constitution and the People's Rights – they act in personal capacity, therefore they are only IMPERSONATING PUBLIC OFFICIAL setting and enforcing unlawful “rules” set up by UNITED STATES CORPORATION, STATE OF FLORIDA CORPORATION and/or PALM BEACH COUNTY CORPORATION - all private, for profit corporations – therefore are falling under illegal activity specified in the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO).

THE WHITE HOUSE OFFICE

1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW

WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 20500-0005

Dun & Bradstreet D-U-N-S number: 031649358

STATE OF FLORIDA

400 S MONROE ST

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-6536

Dun & Bradstreet D-U-N-S number: 004078374

COUNTY OF PALM BEACH

301 N OLIVE AVE FRNT

WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33401-4791

Dun & Bradstreet D-U-N-S number: 078470481

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFFS OFFICE

4345 SOUTHERN BLVD

WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33406-1410

Dun & Bradstreet D-U-N-S number: 065888629

SCHOOL BOARD OF PALM BEACH COUNTY

3300 FOREST HILL BLVD STE C316

WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33406-5813

Dun & Bradstreet D-U-N-S number: 132026527

THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PALM BEACH COUNTY FLORIDA

3858 LAKEWOOD RD

LAKE WORTH, FLORIDA 33461-3554

Dun & Bradstreet D-U-N-S number: 838814163

THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF WEST PALM BEACH COUNTY

3300 FOREST HILL BLVD STE A-323

WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33406-5813

Dun & Bradstreet D-U-N-S number: 079798995

CITY OF WEST PALM BEACH

1661 PINECREST DR  
 FLEMING ISLAND, FLORIDA 32003-8607  
 Dun & Bradstreet D-U-N-S number: 088559576

PALM BEACH COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY  
 3432 45TH ST  
 WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33407-1897  
 Dun & Bradstreet D-U-N-S number: 096580014

The lien debtors will be responsible for any IRS obligations resulting from the discharge or cancellation of any debts, as well as earned income resulting from accepted settlements.

Dealing with claims of "Immunity": Any claim of "Immunity" is a fraud, because if valid, it would prevent removal of officials from office for crimes against the people. Such removal is authorized (mandated) under U.S. Constitution Article 2, Section IV; as well as 18 USC 241, 42 USC 1983, 1985, 1986, and other state Constitutions. Precedents of Law established by Court cases that are in violation of the law, void.

The above stated facts are stated under penalty of perjury under the laws of Florida and the United States of America to be true and correct; Without prejudice and without recourse, all rights reserved.



Dagmara Patrycja Ragone  
 honorable living woman

*created in the image of God, see Genesis 1:26-27*

State of Florida  
 County of Palm Beach

Before me, a notary public, personally appeared Dagmara Patrycja Ragone, known to me, showed me necessary identification, I witnessed her autograph on this document

on 17<sup>th</sup> day, month of May year of our Lord 2022 (Gregorian calendar).




Public Notary

Commission expires

11/29/2022

Seal:



# CERTIFIED PROOF OF SERVICE

I, hereby Certify that on this: the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May, 2022;  
The Bound / Attached Affidavit was signed for Received as attested to by the attached Proof of mailing return receipt.

| SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION   |  | COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| <p>■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3.</p> <p>■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.</p> <p>■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.</p>   |  | <p>A. Signature<br/>X </p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Agent<br/><input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p>  |  |
| <p>1. Article Addressed to:</p> <p>PALM BEACH COUNTY MAYOR<br/>ROBERT S. WEINROTH<br/>301 N. OLIVE AVE<br/>WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401</p>  |  | <p>B. Received by (Printed Name)<br/>Yailen Mejias</p> <p>C. Date of Delivery<br/>5/23/22</p>  |  |
| <p>2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)<br/>RF317 057210US</p>   |  | <p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes<br/>If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>  |  |
| <p>3. Service Type</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature<br/><input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery<br/><input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®<br/><input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery<br/><input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery<br/><input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery<br/><input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail<br/><input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)</p> |  | <p><input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®<br/><input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™<br/><input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery<br/><input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™<br/><input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery</p> |  |
| <p>9590 9402 7285 2028 4457 15</p>  |  | <p>Domestic Return Receipt</p>   |  |

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

| Registered No. RF317057210US  |  | Date Stamp |
|---|--|------------|
| <p>Postage \$ 41.75</p> <p>Extra Services &amp; Fees (continued)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail \$ 4.75</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$ 4.00</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (electronic) \$ 0.00</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Restricted Delivery \$ 0.00</p> <p>Total Postage &amp; Fees \$ 46.75</p> |  |            |
| <p>Customer Must Declare Full Value \$ 0.00</p> <p>Received by 05/18/2022</p>   |  |            |
| <p>Domestic Insurance up to \$50,000 is included based upon the declared value. International Insurance is limited. (See Reverse).</p>  |  |            |
| <p><b>OFFICIAL USE</b></p>  |  |            |

Dagmara Ragone

Post Mailed in Delray Beach city, Florida by my hand

Witness

Witness


# NOTICE OF DEFAULT CERTIFIED "JUDGMENT" OF UN-REBUTTED AFFIDAVIT

herein Bound / Attached and so named as

## Affidavit of Truth and Facts and Notice of Claim

I, hereby Certify that on this;  
the 17<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2022 ; on/or after the 22nd day following the date of the Bound / Attached "Certified  
Proof of Service" that was properly served and dated  
the 23<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2022 ;

I hereby declare by my Honor and under my Authority as one  
of "we the people" and under the laws of the United States of America in that an affidavit un-rebutted in 21  
days becomes the judgement.

  
Print DAGMARA RAGONE


Post Mailed in Delray Beach, Florida by my hand

Notary Public as JURAT CERTIFICATE


State of Florida, County of Palm Beach

Before me, a notary public, personally appeared : Dagmara Patrycja Przanowska Ragone , showed me  
necessary identification, I witnessed her autograph on this document

on 5<sup>th</sup> day, month of July, year of our Lord 2022 (Gregorian calendar).

  
Public Notary

Commission expires 11/29/2022



Notary / Jurat Seal:

Notice to agents is notice to principal, Notice to principal is notice to agent.  
This is The End of this affidavit.

## Unrebutted Affidavit deemed admitted and is factual evidence:

- a) Non Rebutted Affidavits are "Prima Facie Evidence in the Case," *United States vs. Kis*, 658 F.2d, 526, 536-337 (7th Cir. 1981);
- b) *Cert Denied*, 50 U.S. L.W. 2169; S.Ct. March 22, 1982. "Indeed, no more than (Affidavits) is necessary to make the Prima Facie Case."
- c) *Seitzer v. Seitzer*, 80 Cal. Rptr. 688 "Uncontested Affidavit taken as true in support of Summary Judgment."
- d) *Melorich Builders v. The SUPERIOR COURT of San Bernardino County (Serbia)* 207 Cal.Rptr. 47 (Cal.App.4 Dist. 1984) "Uncontested Affidavit taken as true in Opposition of Summary Judgment."
- e) "Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak, or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading. . . We cannot condone this shocking behavior... This sort of deception will not be tolerated and if this is routine it should be corrected immediately." *U.S. v. Tweel*, 550 F.2d 297, 299. See also *U.S. v. Prudden*, 424 F.2d 1021, 1032; *Carmine v. Bowen*, 64 A. 932.
- f) "Uncontested affidavit" moved the court to hear the case. *United States v. Lopez*, No. 07-3159 (10th Cir. 03/04/2008).
- g) "...finding uncontested affidavit of debtor's attorney that he provided telephonic notice of debtor's bankruptcy case sufficient to hold creditor in violation of § 362(h)." *Johnson*, No. 05-8089 (10th Cir. 08/28/2007).
- h) "Based on that uncontested affidavit, the court found that Col. Hardesty had personally and properly appointed Lt. Col. Harmon to Pvt. Wright's court-martial." *Wright v. Commandant, USDB*, No. 03-3214 (10th Cir. 04/09/2004).
- i) "According to the uncontested affidavit of Dennis Farrington, Vice President/Management Supervisor at Hill, Holliday, the commercial became obsolete as of September 30, 1984, when the new model Fords were introduced, and would not be "aired in any form after that date." Kazmaier's prayer for injunctive relief is therefore moot." *Kazmaier v. Wooten*, 761 F.2d 46 (1st Cir. 04/30/1985).
- j) "Whether or not Thrift now has the original prescription forms submitted to UPA for reimbursement, Thrift submitted an uncontested affidavit stating that, as with Thrift's other claims, UPA failed to pay for the \$3,456.07 owed to Thrift upon Thrift's submission of the original claim forms." *Thrift Drug Inc. v. Universal Prescription Administrators*, 131 F.3d 95 (2d Cir. 12/11/1997)
- k) "...the government conceded that a single sale was the only connection between the property and the predicate offense; on the day of the transaction the drugs were brought to the claimant's home at the insistence of the government informant; the uncontested affidavit of the claimant indicated that the drugs were present in the home for no more than a few hours; and there was no evidence that the house was used to store drugs. *Id.* at 1065. On these facts, the court found that there was no "substantial connection" between the claimant's home and the predicate offense." *United States v. Premises and Real Property at 4492 South Livonia Road*, 889 F.2d 1258 (2nd Cir. 11/17/1989)
- l) "The district court relied on the uncontested affidavit of Robert A. Michlik, the PBGC case officer responsible for processing the termination of the Plan, for the finding that 74 Plan participants were eligible for pension benefits as of September 20, 1978." *In re Syntex Fabrics Inc.*, 698 F.2d 199 (3rd Cir. 01/19/1983).
- m) "This motion was supported by an uncontested affidavit detailing that de Santibanes had essentially no contacts with Virginia or with the plaintiffs, including that he had never resided in Virginia, did not own any property in the State, does not receive income from any business with operations in the State, and has never sent nor received correspondence from the State. The plaintiffs did not contest the information in the affidavit by way of affidavit or testimony." *Lolavar v. Santibanes*, 430 F.3d 221 (4th Cir. 12/01/2005).
- n) "According to their uncontested affidavit... Carmichael simply cannot demonstrate any causal connection between Price Waterhouse's conduct and his prolonged imprisonment or torture." *Carmichael v. United Technologies Corp.*, 835 F.2d 109 (5th Cir. 01/07/1988).
- o) "The city responded to appellant's motion for attorney's fees with an (uncontested) affidavit from City Secretary Gorsline. That affidavit, together with the other factors identified in the chronology contained in the district court's opinion, established that as early as March 20, 1985, the city had decided to reword its election ballots." *Sorola v. City of Lamesa*, 808 F.2d 435 (5th Cir. 01/27/1987).
- p) "On the basis of this uncontested affidavit, we can take it as established, for summary judgment purposes, that the bank records were reasonably available." *Barrett v. United States and Internal Revenue Service*, 795 F.2d 446 (5th Cir. 07/28/1986).
- q) "The uncontested affidavit of Stevenson's vice-president established that..." *Albertson v. Stevenson*, 749 F.2d 223 (5th Cir. 12/26/1984).
- r) "The uncontested affidavit establishing appellant's reform or cure was made by appellant's wife at approximately the same time as the affidavits of the other employees. The case for discharge presented to the Merit Systems Review Board for decision, therefore, included an uncontested showing that Bonet was totally reformed or cured." *Bonet v. United States Postal Service*, 712 F.2d 213 (5th Cir. 08/19/1983).
- s) "The unrebutted affidavit of a MetLife representative establishes..." *Justofin v. Metropolitan Life Insurance Co.*, 372 F.3d 517 (06/25/2004).
- t) "The court's decision on the second summary judgment motion parallels its decision on the first. Again, it held that plaintiff had failed to comply with Rule 56(c)(2) when he filed new material in response to the motion and held, as a result, that defendant's statement of undisputed facts was deemed admitted." *Gallipo v. City of Rutland* (2004-041)
- u) "Motion ? a request that the CT order something such as dismissing the case, not same as a pleading.  
Dismissal on other grounds ? i.e. when facts are undisputed and DF is entitled to JGT as a matter of law (Summary JGT under R56)? statute of limitation, claim or issue preclusion, etc.  
Answer - a pleading that responds to allegations of the complaint and may add new matter as well. R8(b)(c)(d)  
Admissions?allegations not denied are deemed admitted.  
Denials?those allegations properly denied are joined, meaning they are in dispute and ripe for adjudication." CIVIL PROCEDURE SPRING 2003 - Professor Von Creel, OCU Law School.
- v) The above, as well as dozens of other cases which could be cited to support the legal position on the validity of unrebutted or uncontested affidavits, which are deemed admitted, regardless of the framework in which this lawful fact is presented. To have to take this to a court in suit is a waste of the court's time and a violation of the PRA.